

Responses received to the Consultation on Schools Revenue Funding 2018-19.**1. Summary of responses:**

| School | Support Proposal C | Support proposal D | Option Favoured | Agree with required formula changes | Notes |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Bournemouth School | N | N | NA | NA | Do not recommend the Forum support a transfer above 0.5% SB |
| Avonbourne | N | N | NA | NA | |
| Kinson | Y | N | No View | No View | |
| Ocean Learning | N | N | NA | NA | Recommend option E |
| St. Lukes | N | N | NA | NA | Recommend option E |
| St. Peters | N | N | NA | NA | |
| Stourfield Infants | Y | N | C | Y | |

2. Response analysis:

Of the responses received, 29% supported option C, 0% supported option D, while 71% indicated no support for either proposals. Only one response provided support for any formula change.

3. Included below are the **consultation responses** from all schools that responded to the consultation. The response from Bournemouth school has been included as a separate attachment to this Appendix – Annex 1.

Avonbourne Trust

Please accept my email as a refusal to accept any of the models outlined and dismay that the suggestion that money be moved from the schools' block has been made. It was made clear (in successive years) that the Schools' Forum would not agree any further movement of money to High Needs and this consultation is disrespectful of that. Furthermore, to consult outside of the meetings with undue haste is questionable.

The Bourne Academy

Thank you for sending through the consultation document. I have not placed this on the form because I do not feel I have enough additional information to make a considered response.

This email was responded to answering some of the questions asked – these have been incorporated into appendix D - Consultation FAQ.

Ocean Learning Trust

Did not support either options C or D.

Ocean Learning Trust response – OPTION E

Historic overspend to be covered by LA efficiencies as proposed.

Current overspend to be covered by Council Tax as a one-off payment.

This allows Bournemouth schools to draw the line under the annual claw-back and receive full benefit of the NFF.

High Needs spending to come under strict financial control and accountability following a review by Schools Forum working group.

St. Lukes Primary School

Did not support either options C or D.

We welcome the new national Funding formula and the opportunity to respond to the consultation on addressing the overspend in the High Needs Block.

With the increased funding we calculate that the school will still have to make £34K of cuts to the already minimal staffing structures, due to known inflation, Pension, NI costs. Without the increased funding St Luke's school is not viable.

The consultation document indicates either option C or D.

We suggest that Option E is implemented to ensure the sustainability of mainstream schools and that Local Councillors increase Council tax to address the over spend in the High Needs Block. We do not agree that there should be an adjustment to the formula for funding EHCPs.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

St. Peter's RC School

Did not support either options C or D.

Stourfield Infant Academy

Support option C, and agree with proposed formula changes to allow for this.

As a school we would prefer Option C for the reasons outlined in the consultation document – i.e. funding for schools would be more in line with NFF allocations. In addition how realistic is it that the EFA will grant the uplift of the MFG proposed in Option D?!

Kinson Primary School

Support option C

Bournemouth School

Did not support any options. Response provided in Appendix E Annex 1.