

## RECORD OF DECISION MADE BY PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR TRANSPORTATION, CLEANSING AND WASTE

**Decision Ref. No:**

**Responsible Officer:**

Ken Hobbs, Principal Traffic Engineer

**Subject:**

WHITE BAR MARKINGS POLICY

**Decision taken:**

To approve the re-introduction of a streamlined and more efficient policy for white bar marks to protect vehicular accesses

**Reasons for the decision:**

The original policy had numerous variables leading to confusion that often resulted in a lack of supporting documents being supplied by applicants, was resource heavy requiring additional work to chase up information resulting in long delays in implementation

**Call-in and Urgency:**

This decision is subject to the Council's call in procedure

**Background:**

White Bar Markings had been provided for a number of years as a means of highlighting to drivers the existence of a dropped kerb vehicular access. However there were numerous variables that often resulted in a lack of supporting documents being provided. The delays brought about by chasing up missing information together with a lack of resources led to the service being suspended. This updated policy is for a more efficient and simplified policy that will allow for the re-introduction of a service that is regularly requested by residents.

**Options - and reasons for rejection:**

Not to proceed with the re-introduction of the updated policy proposals. However, this is a service that is popular with residents and regular requests are made by local residents for the Council to re-introduce the service.

**Consultations undertaken:**

- The Portfolio Holder for Transport, Cleansing and Waste, The Parking and Traffic Manager and the Traffic Management Team have all been consulted.
- The views received have been fully supportive of the proposal to simplify the procedure and to make the process more efficient.
- It is not intended to undertake external consultation as this is not considered necessary as the proposal meets the requirements of the public as has been conveyed to Officers.

**Finance/Resource Implications:**

- There are no finance / resource implications as the work is self funded using existing staff

**Name:** Liz Wilkinson

**Signature:** *(of Chief Finance Officer)*

**Date:** 20.12.13

**Legal implications:**

- There are no legal implications as the work involved is to implement road markings that are specified in The Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2002

**Name:** Tanya Coulter

**Signature:** *(of Monitoring Officer)*

**Date:** 19.12.13

**Risk assessment:**

- The risk rating is low as the policy will lead to self funded requests from the public for white bar markings

**Name:** K.Hobbs

**Signature:** *(of Officer completing assessment)*

**Date:**

**Impact Assessments:**

Note:

- An Equality and Diversity Impact screening has been undertaken which shows no impact.

**Information for/not for publication:**

- Information concerning the new policy is for publication

**Background papers:**

Initial Risk assessment

EINA Screening record

Application Form

Any conflict of interest declared by a Cabinet Member who is consulted by the Member taking the decision	Name of Cabinet Member	Nature of interest	Details of any dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer
No	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Delete as appropriate

**Decision taken by:**

Councillor ...Michael Filer..... (Print name)

Cabinet Portfolio .....Transportation, Cleansing and Waste.....

**Signed:**

**Date of decision: 12.12.13**

**Date of publication of record of decision: 20.12.13**

**Date decision effective - 31.12.13**